


3 - 21 March 2025



New Zealand Security Intelligence Service
Summary Report

Responses:	Response Rate:
247 of 412	60.0%







Contents

- 1 Delivering outcomes
- 2 Enablers of productivity
- 3 Teamwork
- 4 Innovation
- 5 Barriers within agencies
- 6 Barriers between agencies
- 7 Use of technology
- 8 Maori Crown capability
- 9 Principles
- 10 Integrity Culture
- 11 Respectful workplace

- 12 Unacceptable behaviour
- 13 Reporting unacceptable behaviour
- 14 Perceived discrimination
- 15 Employee Engagement
- 16 Satisfaction with pay
- 17 Wellbeing
- 18 Career and skills development
- 19 Reasons to consider leaving
- 20 Health and safety support
- 21 Employee Demographics 2025
- 22 Disability







Exploring your results

\sim	Take time to understand your report. Consider your response rate to determine how representative your results are of the views of your colleagues.
լլլ	Most questions in this report have information about the proportion of colleagues responding positively, neutrally or negatively.
	Identify the areas where you are performing well. These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. Celebrate these results.
	Identify areas that need improvement. These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators.
1	Generally a difference of -/+ 5 percentage points is worthy of attention, but the size of the group is important. Changes in small groups can be unreliable. A result that appears as 0% or blank may either represent a true 0% result, or a situation where a small count means the result has been suppressed to protect employee privacy.



. . .



% Agree

Delivering outcomes 👬	% Neitl % Disa	ner agree nor disagree gree	
	Response scale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall
The work I do contributes to better outcomes for New Zealand.	95%	95%	87%
The work that I do provides value for taxpayers.	88% 10%	88%	80%
It is important to me that my agency is careful in how it uses taxpayer money.	87% 9%	87%	90%
My manager cares about delivering good value for taxpayers.	85% 12%	85%	79%





Enablers of productivity




% Agree% Neither agree nor disagree% Disagree

	Respons	e scale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall
My manager supports my team to deliver on our responsibilities in a timely manner.	78%	14% 7%	78%	73%
My manager provides me with helpful feedback to improve my performance.	71% 14% 16%		71%	66%
In my organisation, people are encouraged to speak up when they identify a serious policy or delivery risk.	65%	20% 15%	65%	58%
I have access to the evidence I need to make good decisions.	55%	18% 27%	55%	64%
Senior leaders clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our organisation.	54%	18% 28%	54%	57%
I feel that change is managed well within my organisation.	30% 30%	40%	30%	30%

0





AGENCY: New Zealand Security Intelligence Service

TE TAUNAKI Public Service Census





	Response s	cale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall
The people in my team are encouraged to come up with new and better ways of doing things.	87%	9%	87%	70%
When suggestions to improve workplace efficiency or productivity are made, they are taken seriously and acted upon.	59%	22% 19%	59%	51%
My team acts on customer feedback to improve our work.	78%	19%	78%	67%
My team discusses mistakes so we can learn from them.	83%	10%7%	83%	76%





Barriers within agencies 🌴



% To a great or very great extent % Somewhat % Very little or not at all

How much do these issues below prevent you and your team performing at your best?		Response scale		% to a great or very great extent 2025	Public Service overall
Inefficient decision making (e.g. slow timelines, senior leader involvement in small decisions, unclear governance processes)	32%	42%	26%	32%	34%
Complicated or unnecessary business processes	30%	42%	27%	30%	37%
Poor communication between teams/silos	26%	45%	29%	26%	32%
Appetite for risk/innovation in my agency	25%	48%	27%	25%	27%
Too many meetings	9%	38% 5	53%	9%	18%
Lack of access to appropriate tools, technology, and information (e.g. unreliable/old IT systems/software/hardware, limited use of Al)					29%
Physical environment (e.g. lack of quiet spaces, meeting spaces, security, accessibility)					23%
Some colleagues/direct reports don't have required skills/motivation					25%
Staffing level/work volumes					49%



Barriers between agencies 🌴





% To a great or very great extent % Somewhat % Very little or not at all

67% of staff at <i>New Zealand Security Intelligence Service</i> said they work regularly with other government agencies.* These people were asked how much do these <u>interagency</u> challenges impact your ability to get work done?	Response scale			Response scale		Response scale		Public Service overall
Risk aversion in other agencies	25%	6	49%	26%	25%	22%		
Problems getting timely information from other agencies	24%	ó	47%	30%	24%	24%		
Lack of accountability	16%	33%		51%	16%	20%		
Difficulty getting people with the right level of decision-making authority at the table	14%	30%	% 56%		14%	20%		
Interagency groups with regular meetings but no clear mandate/objective/authority	11%	24%	64%		11%	13%		
Personality conflicts/trust	9%	28%	63'	%	9%	10%		
Need to consult with too many agencies	9%	30%	% 61%		9%	13%		
Difficulty reaching consensus	9%	28%	63'	%	9%	11%		

* This compares with **57%** of the **Public Service** overall who said they work regularly with other government agencies.



Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa New Zealand Government

% Neither agree nor disagree

% Agree

% Disagree

Use of technology 🖵



	Response scale	c	% positive 2025	Public Service overall 2025
My organisation takes advantage of technology to deliver better services/information to the public.				42%
l feel confident in my ability to learn new digital skills.	85%		85%	88%
How often do you use AI (Artificial Intelligence) for work?	%	positive 2025	Public Ser	vice overall 2025
			5%	ν ο (Daily)
			7%	(Weekly)
			2%	(Monthly)
				for work, but I don't use egularly)
			67% (I've ne	ver tried it for work)
DDA DAC AGO	s no data or privacy rules have bee		AD	AGG

AGENCY: New Zealand Security Intelligence Service



Māori Crown capability







	Respon	se scale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l understand how my agency's Te Tiriti o Waitangi / Treaty of Waitangi responsibilities apply to its work.	53%	30% 18%	53%	32%	77%
l am encouraged and supported to engage with Māori and to understand Māori perspectives.	40%	41% 20%	40%	31%	71%
I feel that leaders in my agency show a commitment to strengthening the relationships between Māori and the Crown	70%	20%	70%	51%	68%
l feel confident in my ability to identify aspects of my agency's work that may disadvantage Māori.	47%	36% 17%	47%	39%	62%
l am comfortable supporting tikanga Māori - Māori cultural values and practice - in my agency (e.g. by using te reo Māori, participating in karakia, hui, mihi	66%	24% 10%	66%	63%	72%
Staff are encouraged to use te reo Māori.	59%	33% 8%	59%	48%	66%
Staff are supported to improve our te reo Māori (e.g. through on-the-job learning, in-house courses, etc).	68%	23% 9%	68%	67%	64%









	Response scale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall
l am confident that my organisation is free and frank in our advice to Ministers.	92% 8%	92%	71%

The above question was only asked of the **21%** of staff at *New Zealand Security Intelligence Service* who said they were involved in preparing advice for a Minister.

I am confident that in my organisation people get jobs based on merit.	52%	22%	26%	52%	44%
I have a good understanding of what it means to be a politically neutral public servant.	98'	%		98%	95%
It's important to me that my organisation is open and transparent with the public.	63%	2	27% 11%	63%	91%
My organisation is working for the long-term good of New Zealand.	98'	%		98%	87%

New Zealand's Public Service principles are central to the way we deliver services and outcomes for New Zealanders. They help to preserve public trust and confidence in our ability to serve current and future governments. The 5 principles are political neutrality, free and frank advice, merit-based appointments, open government and stewardship.



Integrity Culture





	Response scale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021 Public Service overall 2025
The culture in my organisation supports people to act with integrity.	82% 13%	82%	74%
My work colleagues can be trusted to do what is right.	94%	94%	82%
My manager leads by example in ethical behaviour.	89%	89%	83%
I know what to do if I experience or witness wrongdoing or inappropriate behaviour in the workplace.	92%	92%	90%
I feel safe to speak up about wrongdoing or inappropriate behaviour in the workplace.	76% 15% 9%	76%	70%



Respectful workplace



	Response scale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
The agency I work for supports and actively promotes a workplace where people are respectful towards one another.	76% 17%	76%	86%	73%
I feel accepted as a valued member of the team.	87%	87%	83%	79%
l feel comfortable being myself at work /with my colleagues.	86%	86%	83%	80%





Unacceptable behaviour 🧃



In the last 12 months 6.5% of NZSIS staff said they experienced harassment or bullying.

This compares to 12.1% in the Public Service overall.

Type of harassment o New Zealand Securit	Public Service overall	
Sexual Harassment	0.0%	0.8%
Racial Harassment	0.0%	1.6%
Bullying	0.0%	9.2%
Other Harassment or Bullying	0.0%	0.9%

	Source(s) of bullyi New Zealand Security	-	Public Service overall
Of the people at NZSIS who	A current or previous manager/superior	0.0%	6.3%
experienced bullying or harassment, 0% have reported the behaviour.	A direct report or colleague in my agency	0.0%	5.7%
	Another professional outside my agency	0.0%	0.6%
TOD. OTADA	A member of public, client, or person in our care	0.0%	1.6%
		1. ~ ~ ~ .	

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied







Reporting unacceptable behaviour 🕐



The people who had not (yet) reported the bullying or harassment were asked why they had not done so:	Agency level	Public Service overall
l did not think action would be taken	0.0%	52.0%
I was worried about possible retaliation or reprisals	0.0%	48.4%
It could affect my career	0.0%	44.2%
I didn't want to upset relationships in the workplace	0.0%	41.9%
It was not worth the hassle of going through the reporting pr	0.0%	33.6%
Managers accepted the behaviour	0.0%	25.9%
I didn't think the behaviour was serious enough to report it	0.0%	13.8%
I did not have any evidence	0.0%	12.6%
The issue was resolved informally	0.0%	7.6%
I didn't know how to report	0.0%	5.0%
Someone else reported the behaviour so I didn't have to	0.0%	3.7%
Other	0.0%	7.7%

	Subjected to bullying or harassment	Re	sponse scale		% Satisfied Agency level	Public Service overall
l am satisfied with how matters related to bullying/harassment are	Yes	29%	71%		29%	14% (Yes)
resolved in my organisation.	No	46%	32%	21%	46%	46% (No)
TADA SACO	AGAD	% Agree % Neither agre	e nor disagree			
Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules h	ave been applied 🕥	% Disagree				
		24 4		10	2 A VI	211



Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa New Zealand Government

Perceived discrimination



In the last 12 months 8% of employees at New Zealand Security Intelligence Service felt they had experienced some form of discrimination.

This compares to 14% in the Public Service overall.

I believe I have been treated unfairly because of my:	Agency level	Public Service overall
Ethnicity, national origin, race or colour	0.0%	5.4%
Age	0.0%	4.3%
Gender or sex	0.0%	4.0%
Don't know	0.0%	2.8%
Employment status	0.0%	1.8%
Disability	0.0%	1.4%
Ethical belief	0.0%	1.0%
Marital or family status	0.0%	0.9%
Religious belief	0.0%	0.6%
Political opinion	0.0%	0.5%
Sexual orientation	0.0%	0.4%

Percentage totals can exceed the overall figure for the agency because respondents could select as many reasons as applied.

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied



Employee Engagement



% Agree% Neither agree nor disagree% Disagree

Your Employee 0.81 Engagement Index score	Response se	cale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l am enthusiastic about my job.	83%	13%	83%		72%
l would recommend my organisation as a good place to work.	60%	22% 18%	60%		57%
The work I do gives me a sense of accomplishment.	87%		87%		78%
It is important to me that my work contributes to the common good.	98%		98%		96%
				% Satisfied % Neither sa % Dissatisfie	atisfied nor dissatisfied
Thinking now about all aspects of your job, overall, how do you feel about your work?	72%	15% 13%	72%	74%	62%

1

How is the index made?

Each person's score is calculated based on the questions they answered, and then these individual scores are combined to get a measure of overall engagement for the group.









In the last 12 months, how often would you sa	y you have experienced	d work stress?	% agency 2025		Public Service overall 2025
Never or hardly ever	25%		25%		13%
Sometimes	44%		44%		42%
Often or always	31%		31%		44%
What best describes your current workload?			% agency 2025		Public Service overall 2025
Well above capacity - too much work	22%		22%		23%
Slightly above capacity - lots of work to do	39%		39%		42%
At capacity - about the right amount of work to do	32%		32%		30%
Slightly below capacity - available for more work	4%		4%		4%
Well below capacity - not enough work	2%		2%		1%
How do you feel about the balance between yo of work?	our working life and yo	ur life outside	% agency 2025	% agency 2021	Public Service overall 2025
Satisfied	63%		63%	65%	55%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	21%		21%	19%	23%
Dissatisfied	16%		16%	15%	22%
DDA DAC	AGDA	AD.	DA.	AC	AGO

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied

AGENCY: New Zealand Security Intelligence Service



Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa New Zealand Government

Career and skills development



	Respon	se scale		% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l am encouraged and supported to apply for developmental opportunities (e.g. other roles, secondments, senior positions)	59%	25%	17%	59%	62%	51%
I have access to the learning and development I need to do my job well.	52%	26%	22%	52%	70%	63%
Thinking about your current role, which of the following best describes how you feel about your skills?	:			% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Servic overall 2025
I have the skills to cope with more demanding work	29%			29%		29%
My skills match well with the work I do	53%			53%		56%
I need further training to do the job well	17%			17%		15%
	BE					





Reasons to consider leaving

		-
ving		
0	-	-

0% said they intend to leave their role permanently in the next 12 months. Anyone who said they wanted to leave permanently or temporarily was asked why. Their reasons for considering leaving are shown below.	Your agency	Public Service overall
Lack of career progression opportunities	0.0%	42.4%
Unsatisfied with pay/remuneration	0.0%	39.4%
More interesting work	0.0%	32.7%
Quality of leadership/management	0.0%	27.8%
Lack of professional development / training opportunities	0.0%	26.3%
Workload too high	0.0%	21.6%
Job impacted by change process/restructuring	0.0%	16.1%
Quality of workplace relationships/ social environment at	0.0%	14.2%
Work not aligned with my job skills, experience or traini	0.0%	13.7%
Unsatisfied with flexible work arrangements	0.0%	12.8%
Bullying or other negative workplace behaviour	0.0%	11.5%
Lack of job security	0.0%	8.8%
Fixed term job ending	0.0%	7.4%
Work location	0.0%	6.7%
Unable to balance caring responsibilities	0.0%	4.8%
Organisation is not accommodating of my disability	0.0%	1.2%
Other	0.0%	18.0%

Percentage totals can exceed 100% because respondents could select as many reasons as applied.

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied



Health and safety support 🔶





	Response scale			% positive 2025	Public Service overall
My manager cares about my health and wellbeing.	83%		13%	83%	81%
Leaders in my organisation demonstrate a commitment to addressing work factors that impact mental health (e.g. workload, workplace relationships, traumatic or distressing work events).	58%	24%	18%	58%	53%
Leaders in my organisation demonstrate a commitment to continuously improving health and safety.	56%	33%	11%	56%	67%





Employee Demographics 2025 Ethnicity Identify as Rainbow Religion (or LGBTQIA+ / MVPFAFF+ / SOGIESC) 79.5% European 6.8% Maori 6.5% Asian Pacific 4.3% Identify as Disabled MELAA Other Ethnicity 13.3% Source: Public Service Workforce Data MELAA: Middle Eastern. Latin American and African Gender Age Self-reported Neurodivergent 28.6% 27.4% 22.8% Languages Spoken 12.1% **Self-reported Mental Health** Te Reo Māori 0.0% 4.9% 4.1% 0.0% Samoan Sign Language 0.0% 65+ 15-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 Other 0.0% Source: Public Service Workforce Data Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied **AGENCY:** New Zealand Security Intelligence Service - 21







	Response scale	% agency 2025	Public Service overall 2025
Do you identify as a disabled person?			3.9%
Do you use or require any workplace supports or accommodation(s) for your health condition, disability, or impairment? *	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Satisfaction with work-place accommodations	Response scale	% satisfied 2025	Public Service overall 2025
Please rate your satisfaction with how your organisation has responded to your needs or supports you to carry out work activities. *		% Satisfied % Neither satisfied % Dissatisfied	55% fied nor dissatisfied
<i>know</i> to that question and said <i>yes</i> to hav staff at your agency.	no either identified as being disabled, or cho ving either a neurodivergent or mental heat valence of disability in the workforce. A diffe not comparable between time periods.	h condition. This v	was 0.0% of

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied