


3 - 21 March 2025



Responses:	Response Rate:
52 of 54	96.3%





### Contents

- 1 Delivering outcomes
- 2 Enablers of productivity
- 3 Teamwork
- 4 Innovation
- 5 Barriers within agencies
- 6 Barriers between agencies
- 7 Use of technology
- 8 Maori Crown capability
- 9 Principles
- 10 Integrity Culture
- 11 Respectful workplace

- 12 Unacceptable behaviour
- 13 Reporting unacceptable behaviour
- 14 Perceived discrimination
- 15 Employee Engagement
- 16 Satisfaction with pay
- 17 Wellbeing
- 18 Career and skills development
- 19 Reasons to consider leaving
- 20 Health and safety support
- 21 Employee Demographics 2025
- 22 Disability







### **Exploring your results**

$\sim$	Take time to understand your report. Consider your response rate to determine how representative your results are of the views of your colleagues.
լի	Most questions in this report have information about the proportion of colleagues responding positively, neutrally or negatively.
	Identify the areas where you are performing well. These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. Celebrate these results.
	Identify areas that need improvement. These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators.
1	Generally a difference of -/+ 5 percentage points is worthy of attention, but the size of the group is important. Changes in small groups can be unreliable. A result that appears as 0% or blank may either represent a true 0% result, or a situation where a small count means the result has been suppressed to protect employee privacy.
V GV	TATA TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO



## Delivering outcomes 🥊



% Agree

% Neither agree nor disagree % Disagree % positive **Public Service Response scale** 2025 overall The work I do contributes to better outcomes for New 87% 80% 80% 16% Zealand. 80% The work that I do provides value for taxpayers. 79% 21% 79% It is important to me that my agency is careful in how it 90% 98% 98% uses taxpayer money. My manager cares about delivering good value for 84% 9% 84% 79% taxpayers.






# Enablers of productivity





	Respon	se scale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall
In my organisation, people are encouraged to speak up when they identify a serious policy or delivery risk.	71%	13% 17%	71%	58%
I have access to the evidence I need to make good decisions.	69%	22% 10%	69%	64%
My manager supports my team to deliver on our responsibilities in a timely manner.	60%	20% 20%	60%	73%
Senior leaders clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our organisation.	58%	14% 28%	58%	57%
My manager provides me with helpful feedback to improve my performance.	51%	20% 29%	51%	66%
I feel that change is managed well within my organisation.	30% 28%	42%	30%	30%





### TE TAUNAKI Public Service Census





	Respons	e scale	% positive 2025	Public Service overall	
The people in my team are encouraged to come up with new and better ways of doing things.	75%		15%	75%	70%
When suggestions to improve workplace efficiency or productivity are made, they are taken seriously and acted upon.	52%	24%	24%	52%	51%
My team acts on customer feedback to improve our work.	81%	81%		81%	67%
My team discusses mistakes so we can learn from them.	71%	16	<b>5%</b> 14%	71%	76%





# Barriers within agencies 🌴



% To a great or very great extent % Somewhat % Very little or not at all

How much do these issues below prevent you and your team performing at your best?	Response scale				% to a great or very great extent 2025	Public Service overall
Staffing level/work volumes		61%		33%	61%	49%
Inefficient decision making (e.g. slow timelines, senior leader involvement in small decisions, unclear governance processes)		50% 36%		% 14	% 50%	34%
Physical environment (e.g. lack of quiet spaces, meeting spaces, security, accessibility)	389	6	36%	26%	38%	23%
Poor communication between teams/silos	29%	2	15%	27%	29%	32%
Appetite for risk/innovation in my agency	27%	40	%	33%	27%	27%
Lack of access to appropriate tools, technology, and information (e.g. unreliable/old IT systems/software/hardware, limited use of AI)	21%	29%		50%	21%	29%
Some colleagues/direct reports don't have required skills/motivation	20%	20%	59%		20%	25%
Too many meetings	20%	30%		50%	20%	18%
Complicated or unnecessary business processes	13%	33%		54%	13%	37%



# Barriers between agencies 🌴





% To a great or very great extent % Somewhat % Very little or not at all

<b>75%</b> of staff at <i>Social Investment Agency</i> said they work regularly with other government agencies.* These people were asked how much do these <u>interagency</u> challenges impact your ability to get work done?	Response scale					% to a great or very great extent 2025	Public Service overall		
Risk aversion in other agencies	52%		33% 159		15%	52%	22%		
Problems getting timely information from other agencies	37	7%	43% 20%		43% 20%		37%	24%	
Difficulty reaching consensus	29%	0	29%	<b>9%</b> 41%		41%		29%	11%
Difficulty getting people with the right level of decision-making authority at the table	27%		33%	33% 39%		27%	20%		
Interagency groups with regular meetings but no clear mandate/objective/authority	18%	30	0%	52%			18%	13%	
Lack of accountability	18%	29	9%	53%		53%		18%	20%
Need to consult with too many agencies	18%		47%	. <mark>7%</mark> 35%		18%	13%		
Personality conflicts/trust	11% 2	20%		6	59%		11%	10%	

\* This compares with **57%** of the **Public Service** overall who said they work regularly with other government agencies.



## Use of technology 🖵



% Agree% Neither agree nor disagree% Disagree

		Response scale			% positive 2025	Public Service overall 2025				
My organisation takes advantage of tec deliver better services/information to th		49%	43%	9%	49%	42%				
l feel confident in my ability to learn ne	el confident in my ability to learn new digital skills.		86% 12%		86% 12%		digital skills. 86% 12%		86%	88%
How often do you use AI (Artificial Intelliger	nce) for work?		%	positive 2025	Public Se	rvice overall 2025				
Daily	9%			9%	5% (Daily)					
Weekly	15%		:	15%	7%	ó (Weekly)				
l've tried it for work, but I don't use it regularly		38%		38%	18% (I've tried i	(Monthly) t for work, but I don't use regularly)				
l've never tried it for work		38%	3	38%	67% (I've n	ever tried it for work)				
DDA DAD	issing data indicate	es no data or privacy	y rules have bee	en applied	TACO	AGG				
NCY: Social Investment Agency	2112				UXA UN					

**AGENCY:** Social Investment Agency



# Māori Crown capability







	Response sca	le	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l understand how my agency's Te Tiriti o Waitangi / Treaty of Waitangi responsibilities apply to its work.	67%	20% 12%	67%	72%	77%
l am encouraged and supported to engage with Māori and to understand Māori perspectives.	76%	14% 10%	76%	81%	71%
I feel that leaders in my agency show a commitment to strengthening the relationships between Māori and the Crown	92%		92%	74%	68%
l feel confident in my ability to identify aspects of my agency's work that may disadvantage Māori.	77%	15% 8%	77%	76%	62%
l am comfortable supporting tikanga Māori - Māori cultural values and practice - in my agency (e.g. by using te reo Māori, participating in karakia, hui, mihi	82%	14%	82%	78%	72%
Staff are encouraged to use te reo Māori.	76%	24%	76%	92%	66%
Staff are supported to improve our te reo Māori (e.g. through on-the-job learning, in-house courses, etc).	75%	13% 13%	75%	83%	64%









	Response sca	le		% positive 2025	Public Service overall
I am confident that my organisation is free and frank in our advice to Ministers.	66%	16%	19%	66%	71%

The above question was only asked of the **66%** of staff at *Social Investment Agency* who said they were involved in preparing advice for a Minister.

l am confident that in my organisation people get jobs based on merit.	76%	16% 8%	76%	44%
l have a good understanding of what it means to be a politically neutral public servant.	96%		96%	95%
It's important to me that my organisation is open and transparent with the public.	96%		96%	91%
My organisation is working for the long-term good of New Zealand.	96%		96%	87%

New Zealand's Public Service principles are central to the way we deliver services and outcomes for New Zealanders. They help to preserve public trust and confidence in our ability to serve current and future governments. The 5 principles are political neutrality, free and frank advice, merit-based appointments, open government and stewardship.



# Integrity Culture





	Response scale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
The culture in my organisation supports people to act with integrity.	86% 1	.4% 86%		74%
My work colleagues can be trusted to do what is right.	92%	92%	92%	82%
My manager leads by example in ethical behaviour.	90%	90%		83%
I know what to do if I experience or witness wrongdoing or inappropriate behaviour in the workplace.	88% 8	88%		90%
I feel safe to speak up about wrongdoing or inappropriate behaviour in the workplace.	86%	86%		70%



# Respectful workplace



	Response scale	% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025	
The agency I work for supports and actively promotes a workplace where people are respectful towards one another.	86%		86%	89%	73%
I feel accepted as a valued member of the team.	70% 22%	% 8%	70%	84%	79%
l feel comfortable being myself at work /with my colleagues.	82%	8%	82%	81%	80%





### Unacceptable behaviour 🌔



In the last 12 months 0.0% of SIA staff said they experienced harassment or bullying.

This compares to 12.1% in the Public Service overall.

	 o Aotearoa New Zealand Government	
experienced	Public Service	

Te Kāwanatanga

Type of harassment of <i>Social Inves</i>	Public Service overall	
Sexual Harassment	0.0%	0.8%
Racial Harassment	0.0%	1.6%
Bullying	0.0%	9.2%
Other Harassment or Bullying	0.0%	0.9%

	-	Source(s) of bullying or harassment Social Investment Agency		
Of the people at SIA who	A current or previous manager/superior	0.0%	6.3%	
experienced bullying or harassment, 0% have reported the behaviour.	A direct report or colleague in my agency	0.0%	5.7%	
	Another professional outside my agency	0.0%	0.6%	
TOTAD, OTAD	A member of public, client, or person in our care	0.0%	1.6%	

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied






### Reporting unacceptable behaviour



The people who had not (yet) reported the bullying or harassment were asked why they had not done so:	Agency level	Public Service overall
l did not think action would be taken	0.0%	52.0%
I was worried about possible retaliation or reprisals	0.0%	48.4%
It could affect my career	0.0%	44.2%
I didn't want to upset relationships in the workplace	0.0%	41.9%
It was not worth the hassle of going through the reporting pr	0.0%	33.6%
Managers accepted the behaviour	0.0%	25.9%
I didn't think the behaviour was serious enough to report it	0.0%	13.8%
I did not have any evidence	0.0%	12.6%
The issue was resolved informally	0.0%	7.6%
I didn't know how to report	0.0%	5.0%
Someone else reported the behaviour so I didn't have to	0.0%	3.7%
Other	0.0%	7.7%







### **Perceived discrimination**



In the last 12 months 0% of employees at *Social Investment Agency* felt they had experienced some form of discrimination.

This compares to 14% in the Public Service overall.

I believe I have been treated unfairly because of my:	Agency level	Public Service overall
Ethnicity, national origin, race or colour	0.0%	5.4%
Age	0.0%	4.3%
Gender or sex	0.0%	4.0%
Don't know	0.0%	2.8%
Employment status	0.0%	1.8%
Disability	0.0%	1.4%
Ethical belief	0.0%	1.0%
Marital or family status	0.0%	0.9%
Religious belief	0.0%	0.6%
Political opinion	0.0%	0.5%
Sexual orientation	0.0%	0.4%

Percentage totals can exceed the overall figure for the agency because respondents could select as many reasons as applied.

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied



# Employee Engagement 🧲



% Agree% Neither agree nor disagree% Disagree

Your Employee <b>0.77</b> Engagement Index score	Response scale		% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l am enthusiastic about my job.	66%	24% 10	% 66%		72%
l would recommend my organisation as a good place to work.	65%	22% 129	% 65%		57%
The work I do gives me a sense of accomplishment.	62%	26% 129	% 62%		78%
It is important to me that my work contributes to the common good.	94%		94%		96%
				% Satisfied % Neither sa % Dissatisfie	tisfied nor dissatisfied
Thinking now about all aspects of your job, overall, how do you feel about your work?	51%	31% 18%	51%	69%	62%



#### How is the index made?

Each person's score is calculated based on the questions they answered, and then these individual scores are combined to get a measure of overall engagement for the group.






% Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

% Satisfied

% Dissatisfied

## Satisfaction with pay \$









In the last 12 months, how often would you say	y you have e	xperienced work stress?	e % agency 2025		Public Service overall 2025
Never or hardly ever	14%		14%		13%
Sometimes		40%	40%		42%
Often or always		46%	46%		44%
What best describes your current workload?			% agency 2025		Public Service overall 2025
Well above capacity - too much work	22%		22%		23%
Slightly above capacity - lots of work to do		43%	43%		42%
At capacity - about the right amount of work to do	22%		22%		30%
Slightly below capacity - available for more work	14%		14%		4%
Well below capacity - not enough work					1%
How do you feel about the balance between yo of work?	our working l	ife and your life outside	e % agency 2025	% agency 2021	Public Service overall 2025
Satisfied		54%	54%	76%	55%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	25%		25%	14%	23%
Dissatisfied	21%		21%	11%	22%
DDA DAC	AGO		DA.	AC	AGG

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied

**AGENCY:** Social Investment Agency



# Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa New Zealand Government

# Career and skills development



	Respon	se scale		% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
l am encouraged and supported to apply for developmental opportunities (e.g. other roles, secondments, senior positions)	45%	37%	18%	45%	57%	51%
I have access to the learning and development I need to do my job well.	54%	32%	14%	54%	64%	63%
Thinking about your current role, which of the following best describes how you feel about your skills?	:			% positive 2025	% positive 2021	Public Service overall 2025
I have the skills to cope with more demanding work	39%			39%	46%	29%
My skills match well with the work I do	55%	)		55%	51%	56%
I need further training to do the job well	6%			6%	3%	15%
	A					





## Reasons to consider leave

				ľ
_	•			I
v	I	ng	7	1
-	-	c	•	ŀ

**39%** said they intend to leave their role permanently in the next 12 months. Anyone who said they wanted to leave permanently or Your agency Public Service overall temporarily was asked why. Their reasons for considering leaving are shown below. 39.1% 42.4% Lack of career progression opportunities 26.1% 39.4% Unsatisfied with pay/remuneration More interesting work 30.4% 32.7% 39.1% 27.8% Quality of leadership/management 17.4% 26.3% Lack of professional development / training opportunities 26.1% 21.6% Workload too high Job impacted by change process/restructuring 17.4% 16.1% Quality of workplace relationships/ social environment at... 0.0% 14.2% Work not aligned with my job skills, experience or traini... 26.1% 13.7% 0.0% Unsatisfied with flexible work arrangements 12.8% 0.0% 11.5% Bullying or other negative workplace behaviour Lack of job security 0.0% 8.8% Fixed term job ending 34.8% 7.4% Work location 0.0% 6.7% 0.0% 4.8% Unable to balance caring responsibilities 0.0% 1.2% Organisation is not accommodating of my disability 0.0% 18.0% Other

#### Percentage totals can exceed 100% because respondents could select as many reasons as applied.

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied



# Health and safety support 🔶






	Response scale			% positive 2025	Public Service overall
My manager cares about my health and wellbeing.	78% 1		16%	78%	81%
Leaders in my organisation demonstrate a commitment to continuously improving health and safety.	73%		24%	73%	67%
Leaders in my organisation demonstrate a commitment to addressing work factors that impact mental health (e.g. workload, workplace relationships, traumatic or distressing work events).	53%	22%	24%	53%	53%





### **Employee Demographics 2025**



### TE TAUNAKI Public Service Census





	Response scale	% agency 2025	Public Service overall 2025
Do you identify as a disabled person?	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Do you use or require any workplace supports or accommodation(s) for your health condition, disability, or impairment? *	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Satisfaction with work-place accommodations	Response scale	% satisfied 2025	Public Service overall 2025
Please rate your satisfaction with how your organisation has responded to your needs or supports you to carry out work activities. *		% Satisfied % Neither satis % Dissatisfied	55% fied nor dissatisfied
	no either identified as being disabled, or cho ring either a neurodivergent or mental heat		
These results likely understimate the prev measure disability in 2021, so results are	valence of disability in the workforce. A difference of disability in the workforce. A difference of the second	erent method was	used to

Missing data indicates no data or privacy rules have been applied