Chair Cabinet Committee on State Sector Reform and Expenditure Control

INITIAL IMPROVEMENTS TO INFORMATION PRIVACY AND SECURITY AND PLAN **OF ACTION TO APRIL 2015**

Proposal

This report provides a progress update on privacy and security improvements since 1 the GCIO Review of Publicly Accessible Information Systems (GCIO Review) in November 2012; sets out an action plan to lift and sustain capability across the State sector; and, seeks agreement to publicly release a copy of this report after it has been considered by Ministers.

Executive summary

- been considered by Ministers. **Sutive summary** Following the GCIO Review, Cabinet directed the GCIO to undertake a range of 2 actions to improve privacy and security capability across the State sector [Cab Min (13) 6/2D refers] and report back to us on progress after six months.
- Lifting capability is critical to restoring and maintaining public trust and confidence in 3 the way that government agencies manage citizens' private information. The success of Government objectives to increase online access to services and to make smarter use of information relies on the confidence of the public.
- Good progress has been made in improving privacy and security capability over the 4 last six months:
 - Agencies have completed security assessments of all high risk publicly accessible information systems. As result of this process, there is greater confidence in the security of those populicly accessible information systems.
 - Additional vulneral dities were identified through this process but none were of the same level as the previous round of testing. Remediation plans have been put in place. The totentification of new vulnerabilities is an expected outcome of any security assessment process.
 - Agencies are reporting an uplift in practice and understanding of privacy and security matters and have plans to further improve their capability by March 2014. Lie GCIO will continue to provide advice and support to agencies over the interim Seriod to help them meet their capability targets.



The expectations on agencies have been clearly set and risk assessment tools, guidance on security assessments, and indicators of best practice have been provided to support agencies in meeting these expectations.

- A security services panel has been implemented to assist agencies in accessing scarce capability. The panel will also help drive consistency, cost effectiveness and transparency.
- A privacy leadership toolkit has been published with guidance and examples of tools and practice for agencies to use. A privacy maturity assessment framework is currently being piloted with agencies.

- 5 While progress has been good, and to plan, considerable ongoing work is still required. A plan of action for the next 18 months is attached to this paper at Appendix A. This work programme, together with the GCIO's ongoing engagement with agencies, will ensure agencies continue to be supported and provided with guidance and tools while a streamlined framework to support sustained system-wide improvement is developed. The framework will set clear expectations for how information privacy and security should be governed and managed, support agencies in meeting these expectations, monitor progress and provide assurance that expectations are being met.
- Improving and sustaining capability in information privacy and security will require 6 Chief Executives and Board Chairs to drive behavioural change through their organisations. Ongoing senior level focus will be required once the framework is implemented to manage the ongoing risk to privacy and security of information and to

Background

- embed improvements into organisations. ground Following the Ministry of Social Development (MSD) kiosk security breach in October 7 2012, the State Services Commissioner asked the GCIOX® undertake an urgent review of publicly accessible information systems.
- The purpose of the review was to provide Ministers with advice on the security of 8 publicly accessible systems and provide Chief Executives with advice on security improvements that can be made in the operation of these systems. KPMG was engaged to provide assistance to the GCIO in assessing responses from agencies.
- 9 The KPMG assessment looked at security documentation from 70 departments and Crown Entities relating to 215 systems, The KPMG assessment, together with the GCIO recommendations, was presented to Cabinet in March 2013.
- The review's findings identified that security and privacy processes within many agencies were under-develops and there was a need to build understanding of 10 security and privacy within a wider risk management frame.
- The review's recommercations sought to address these issues through urgent 11 remedial actions to litte security of State sector ICT systems and practices as well as mechanisms to deve and support agency compliance.
- To oversee this work, an Information Privacy and Security Governance Group, 12 chaired by the GCIO, was established for a two year term to April 2015. This group has been tasked by Cabinet to ensure a sharp and sustained focus on improving information privacy and security matters.

Initial intervenents in privacy and security – what has changed over the last six months

Socurity assessments of publicly accessible information systems

- Agencies in the review's scope were directed by Cabinet to undertake risk 13 assessments of their publicly accessible information systems by April 2013 and to undertake security assessments of their high risk systems by the end of July.
- All agencies have completed risk assessments of, and have confirmed they will 14 continue to operate, their publicly accessible information systems. In doing so, these agencies have committed to undertake a programme of improvement to lift practice and capability in privacy and security.

- 15 All agencies have completed security assessments of their high risk publicly accessible information systems. Agencies are required to confirm to the GCIO that they have completed security assessments of their remaining medium and low risk systems by March 2014. From discussions with agencies it appears that many have already progressed this.
- 16 As expected, vulnerabilities were identified though the security assessment process. These vulnerabilities have either been remediated or remediation plans are in place, agreed at a senior level. No high priority vulnerabilities with an impact on privacy were reported through this process.
- 17 The nature of threats to ICT systems is constantly evolving. The identification of the vulnerabilities is an expected outcome of the security assessment process and mitigates the potential for, but does not entirely remove the risk of, further breaches.
- 18 Privacy and security breaches are often the result of human error and not always the result of ICT system vulnerabilities. For this reason, Chief Executives and Board Chairs need to continue to ensure their organisations are appropriately managing this risk on an ongoing basis.

Actions to improve practice and capability within agencies

- 19 Agencies were asked to complete a self assessment on heir capability as at the end of July and their planned capability by March 2014 based on a capability maturity model. All agencies have provided these statements to the GCIO. The GCIO has also been engaging with agencies as part of its ongoing relationship function to further inform a view of capability in privacy are security across agencies.
- 20 The level of capability maturity needed withdepend on the information holdings of an agency. Not every agency is expected to have the highest possible level of maturity. In addition, lifting maturity often relies on a behavioural and cultural change, which takes time to embed into an organisation. For these reasons, we consider that some agencies may be optimistic in their plans to lift maturity over the next 8 months.
- 21 At a summary level, agencies are reporting an uplift in practice and understanding since November 2012, with improvement across all indicators and further investment in improvements planed.
- 22 Areas that have significantly improved since November 2012 relate to security governance and accountability:
 - 98% of regencies now have a Chief Information Security Officer and/or an IT Security Manager appointed compared to 50% in November 2012.
 - 98% of agencies have reported that accountability for privacy and security is at the executive level compared to 21% in November 2012.

All agencies plan to further improve their security governance by March 2014 by putting in place structures and processes that enable security and privacy to be considered in the context of the agency business.

- 23 Maturity in security risk management and accreditation and certification, as reported by agencies, has improved slightly.
 - 84% of agencies now have a formal security policy in place compared with 73% in November 2012. All agencies plan to have a formal policy in place, supported by standards, by March 2014.
 - 30% of agencies now have formal risk management processes in place compared to 27% in November 2012. This is not a significant improvement, however,

3

almost all agencies plan to have formal processes that are documented, and consistently followed, by March 2014.

- 40% of agencies now have a security accreditation and certification process in place compared to 13% in November 2012. The majority of these processes, however, have not been formally documented.
- 24 While positive, these movements are not as significant. This is to be expected over a six month period given the low levels of maturity indicated in the initial GCIO Review. It will take time and investment to significantly improve maturity in these areas.
- 25 The next report-back for agencies will be at the 12 month mark, in March 2014. At this point, agencies are required to confirm they have completed security assessments of all medium and low risk publicly accessible information systems, and will also be asked to report on progress against their improvement plans.
- 26 The GCIO will continue to support agencies over this period through the new security services panel and by facilitating the sharing of experience from agencies with higher levels of capability. Targeted assistance will be provided to agencies with a lower level of maturity. The GCIO ICT Assurance function will monitor ICT operational risk to target interventions where appropriate and provide advice to agencies and Ministers where necessary.
- Ministers where necessary. 27 The information received from agencies on their current and planned level of capability provides a benchmark for future measurement of progress. When agencies report again in March 2014, the degree of progress made will determine the level of engagement or intervention needed from the GCIO in order to provide assurance to Ministers that privacy and security risks are being managed appropriately.
- 28 Ministers will receive a further report on progress, based on the results of the 12 month report back from agencies, **59** June 2014.

Accessing market capability

- 29 Capability and capacity in the security and privacy area is limited. To assist agencies in accessing the resources they need, an all of government common capability panel for security and related services has been established. The panel is intended to enable a lift in risk, security and privacy management performance through a common approach by all government agencies. This panel will provide agencies with expertise to support their ongoing improvement plans.
- 30 The panel is mandatory for public service and non-public service departments and is available to all State sector agencies.
- 31 The GCIO will receive information on all panel engagements to assist in targeting Surance interventions. Agencies will also receive support from the GCIO in accessing services from the panel to drive consistency and cost effectiveness.
- 32 This is an open panel, meaning suppliers that wish to join the Panel, or add additional service categories, after it has been established can do. Additional categories will be added to the panel over time. This will include broadening privacy related services.

Expectations, guidance and support

33 We have set clear expectations in relation to improving privacy and security practice, which have been supported by the GCIO. These expectations have been reinforced in communications from Ministers to all agencies and in performance expectations for Chief Executives.

- 34 Cabinet has tasked the Information Privacy and Security Governance Group with:
 - reviewing and revising existing guidance and issuing clear guidance on good practice where appropriate;
 - developing solutions to support agency compliance and build security and privacy capability within agencies; and
 - establishing a problem identification report-back mechanism and process from agencies.
- 35 Progress on these tasks is set out in the sections below.

Information security

- 36 Significant work has been done to support improved information security practice. The GCIO has provided tools to assist agencies to undertake risk assessments of their publicly accessible information systems and guidance on security assessments to help agencies access the right services. To assist agencies in developing their statements of capability, a capability maturity model has been developed with indicators of good practice across the "three lines of defence" practice, oversight and audit.
- 37 A system has been put in place for agencies to report him priority vulnerabilities and security breaches to the GCIO. A more streamlined approach to self-reporting of incident response is also being developed. There are robust arrangements in place for cyber security incidents through both the National Cyber Security Incident Response Plan and the National Cyber Security Centre. There is a need for clearer guidance for agencies on what to do in the case of other incidents relating to lower level breaches. A "no wrong door" policy for agencies is being developed that will leverage existing arrangements in the National Cyber Security Centre and complement the National Cyber Security Incident Response Plan. Clear guidance for agencies will be developed to support this.
- 38 The NZSIS is leading the establishment of a protective security policy framework for New Zealand. This will update and incorporate SIGS, the NZISM and PSM into one overarching framework and set out clear and mandatory requirements in a way that can be easily accessed by a range of audiences. The objective is to help agencies comply with their obligations and drive a more security aware culture across the State sector. The framework will be supported by monitoring and reporting for assurance purposes, as well as guidance and education for agencies.

Privacy

- 39 There has been good progress made in providing privacy guidance and support to agencies. Statistics NZ have continued to run the Privacy Working Group and readership Forum. These groups have driven the publication of a privacy leadership toolkit, which serves as a mechanism to drive consistency across the State sector through sharing examples of practice.
- 40 Statistics NZ have also developed a privacy maturity assessment framework, tool and guide which are currently being piloted with a cross-section of agencies. The primary purpose of the tool is to enable agencies to self assess and improve their privacy practices. The self-assessment is based on a capability maturity model and will form a basis for external assurance and/or benchmarking between agencies in the future.
- 41 There is still further work to do in relation to privacy. This will include:

- Identifying appropriate processes for monitoring and reporting of privacy across the State sector - a separate paper has recently been considered by SEC that would support this work, at the time of writing this is pending Cabinet decision;
- Boosting capacity and capability through expanding the security services panel to include service categories for privacy; and
- Aligning the privacy maturity assessment framework with the protective security policy framework to facilitate integration of privacy and security practice within agencies.
- 42 By investing further in these areas, a broader, more streamlined framework cando developed and implemented to provide the platform for sustained improvement in privacy and security practice and capability.

- A streamlined framework to support ongoing compliance and capability to that A
 43 Cabinet has tasked the Information Privacy and Security Cabinet has tasked the Information Privacy and Security Governance Group with developing a model to enable integrated privacy and security grandards, governance, policies and procedures to be consistently applied across the State sector. The group has also been asked to investigate the use of standardised security and privacy reporting across the State sector. 0,
- All-of-government information management policy becoming increasingly important 44 with the increasing use of digital channels, greater business process integration and information sharing between agencies. 5
- DIA is working with central agencies and there interested agencies to develop an all-45 of-government information management framework as set out in the ICT Strategy SÓ and Action Plan.
- 46 A streamlined framework for pricacy and security will be the first component part of the all-of-government information management framework. This will be delivered through a combination of:
 - The New Zealand Rrotective Security Requirements, sponsored by DPMC and 2014, though this is dependent on funding and resource agreements yet to be reached. Cabinet support for the requirements will be sought in early 2014.
 - The Paracy Maturity Assessment Framework, led by Statistics NZ, and the furthed work required on privacy outlined above in paragraph 43.
 - Lie ICT Assurance function, led by the GCIO, will provide coordinated oversight Cand delivery of system-wide ICT assurance (including information privacy and security). It will identify areas where interventions may be needed and take action to support agencies where necessary.

47 More information on these initiatives is set out at Appendix B.

Plan of Action

48 The plan of action for the Governance Group through to April 2015 is set out in the diagram at Appendix A. There are three phases:

March 2013 to September 2013 (this phase is complete)

- Agencies undertook risk assessments of all publicly accessible information systems, security assessments of high risk systems and provided GCIO with a statement of their capability and ongoing improvement plans.
- Guidance and advice was provided to agencies to support capability building.
- The Privacy Leadership Programme led two groups to share expertise and lift capability, developed the privacy leadership toolkit and the privacy maturity assessment framework, tool and guide.
- A model for a streamlined framework to enable sustained improvement to privacy A common capability security services panel has now been established Artains The privacy maturity assessment framework is currently being and the framework is

October 2013 to June 2014

- A streamlined approach to incident response will be implemented
- Agencies will report back on progress with their improvement plans and confirm they have completed security assessments of all remaining systems by the end of
- March 2014. The broader framework to enable sustained improvement across privacy and security is under development through alignment of the initiatives outlined in and paragraph 46.

July 2014 – April 2015

- A streamlined framework to enable curstained improvement across privacy and security will be implemented with appropriate support and ongoing post-Sé implementation processes.
- I will receive a progress report on this work programme by June 2014. Over the 49 interim period, the GCIO willocontinue to engage with agencies to monitor their progress in implementing improvements. In addition, the GCIO ICT Assurance function will provide inter@htions where appropriate.
- While agencies are reporting an uplift in practice and understanding of privacy and 50 security, there is a still a lot to be done and success will rely on behavioural change at every level of an organisation. The investment required of Chief Executives and Board Chairs to effect this change is likely to be significant.
- The plan of action seeks to establish a platform for that change; however, continual 51 focus and attention will be required once the framework is implemented, both from ChiefExecutives and lead agencies, to embed improvements into organisations.

Related initiatives

- 52-⁰ The diagram at Appendix B sets out how different initiatives underway across government contribute to the information privacy and security work programme.
- The outcomes of the information privacy and security programme contribute to the 53 success of a number of initiatives across government, including Cloud computing and Better Public Services result areas (particularly 9 and 10), through building and maintaining public trust and confidence in how the Government manages peoples' personal information.
- This work programme also contributes to the delivery of a number of actions in the 54 ICT Strategy and Action Plan, including the development of an information

management framework and a mechanism for re-organising capability across the State sector.

55 Governance across related initiatives has been aligned to maximise opportunity wherever possible.

Consultation

The Department of Internal Affairs, Government Chief Information Officer, 56 Government Communications Security Bureau, New Zealand Security Intelligence Service, Statistics New Zealand, Inland Revenue Department, Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment, Ministry of Social Development, The Treasury, Ministry of Justice and the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet were consulted withis paper. The Office of the Privacy Commissioner was informed of this paper,

Financial implications

- The work required of agencies to meet requirements may be resource intensive. 57
- The plan of action will have financial implications for agencies as they will need to 58 engage external suppliers to undertake security assessments and assist them in undertaking their ongoing programmes of improvement. Agencies may also need to engage resource to pilot and implement the privacy mathinty assessment framework.
- The cost of implementing these actions will vary across agencies, dependent of capability. The cost of implementing these actions will vary across agencies, depending on their 59

Human rights implications

60

Legislative implications

61

Regulatory impact analysis

62

Gender implications

63

Disability perspective

64 None

ر Communications

- 65 On May 2013, following the initial report on the GCIO Review, Cabinet agreed to publicly release the Cabinet paper and summary of findings. This release was supported by briefings for Chief Executives and the media.
- To demonstrate the progress being made. I seek Cabinet's agreement to publicly 66 release a copy of this report. The State Services Commission will work with my office and the Government Chief Information Officer on the process for this release.

Recommendations

- It is recommended that the Committee: 67
 - Note initial improvements in privacy and security over the last six 1 months:
 - Agencies have completed security assessments of all high risk • publicly accessible information systems.
 - Agencies are reporting an uplift in practice and understanding

 - The Privacy Leadership Programme led two groups to share that the privacy leadership developed the privacy leadership and guide.
 - Access to market capability is being addressed frough the new security services panel, which will help drive consistency, ne cost effectiveness and transparency.
 - Note that a model for a streamlined framework is being developed 2 to enable sustained improvement to privasy and security.
 - Note the plan of action for the Information Privacy and Security 3 Governance group through to Aptil 2015.
 - Note that a number of gelated initiatives underway across 4 government contribute to the proposed plan of action.
 - Note that the Minister of State Services and Minister of Internal 5 Affairs will receive an update on progress against the plan of action by June 2014.
 - Authorise the Minister of State Services to release a copy of this 6 Cabinet Gaper and attachments.

easedby

Hon Jonathan Coleman Minister of State Services

Hon Chris Tremain Minister of Internal Affairs

1 1

Appendix A: Plan of action to improve information



November 2012 – September 2013

October 2013 – June 2014

ACTIONS

July 2014 – April 2015

GOVERNMENT PRIORITY – Delivering Better Public Services (effective and efficient)

LONG TERM OUTCOME: To enhance trust in government and confidence in the performance of state sector organisations

Improved capability, consistency of practice and greater confidence of information privacy and security across the State sector

Cross government programme to improve Privacy and Security across the State Sector

Co-ordination of agency **Privacy Leadership Cyber Security Plan** response to GCIO Review Programme (in final phase) of Publicly Accessible (underway) Support agencies to take Systems practical steps to identify Lift performance in privacy (underway) and protect against management across the state cyber threats Monitoring and Improve practice in security sector systems and governance within assurance for privacy agencies Required agencies to self Establish networks of people Identify monitoring assess against core with responsibility and expertise • Implement measures to lift and reporting mitigations, identify high to enhance capacility maturity of security practices mechanism to test and value information assets, • Publish guidance and examples and strengthen controls measure improvement prioritise security of practice for agencies to use. • Test and ensure controls in in privacy practice and improvements capability across place for PAI systems • Implement a Privacy Promoted cross agency agencies. Assessment tool to enable Assist agency access to awareness raising effective governance and risk scarce security resources Build this mechanism assurance in the state sector around the Privacy What does this give us? What does this give us? Assessment Tool. Created a platform for 35 What does this give us? Greater confidence in the agencies to self-assess security of publicly accessible. information systems, greater their cyber security Sharing of practice and experience practices to drive consistency understanding of security practice and governance within A tool to enable agencies to LEAD: NCSC / DIA (with assess and build capability, agencies and a benchmark to A framework that sets appropriate and fit for purpose expectations providing a benchmark to measure support from NCPO) measure improvement from. for privacy and security, supports agencies to meet the improvement from On-going work to promote LEAD: GCIO expectations; monitors and measures progress and intervenes cyber security awareness and Information from agencies is practice becomes part of the an input to the GCIO LEAD: STATISTICS NZ framework LEAD: SSC / GCIO assurance function



APPENDIX B

Overseen by Information Privacy and security governance group



Streamlined framework to enable consistent privercy and security practice across the sector

(being developed)

Protective security

policy framework

An overarching

framework

(incorporating SIGS,

NZISM and PSM) to

help agencies achieve

mandatory

requirements and

develop a security

culture.

Supported by

education, guidance,

monitoring, reporting

and assurance

mechanisms.

What does this give us?

when necessary.

LEAD: NZSIS

GCIO ICT Assurance Function

A system-wide view of the status of ICT risk and technologyenabled business processes across government.

Identifies where interventions may be needed and actively supports agencies where necessary.

LEAD: GCIO

Strategic Information **Management Project** (being developed)

A co-ordinated, forward looking approach to information management across government

Develop an all-ofgovernment information management framework as set out in the ICT Strategy and Action Plan.

What does this give us?

An overall framework for information management (including privacy and security) to enable sustained improvement.

LEAD: DIA